

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 01/30/2006

	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.   CONFIRMATION NO	).		
10/700,635 11/05/2003 Kazunori Mune	Q78224 4858			
23373 7590 01/30/2006	EXAMINER			
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC	PENG, CHARLIE YU	PENG, CHARLIE YU		
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 800	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER			
WASHINGTON, DC 20037	2883			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/700,635	MUNE ET AL.	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief			
Before the Filling of an Appear Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Charlie Peng	2883	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet wi	h the correspondence addres	s
HE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICA	TION IN CONDITION FOR A	LOWANCE.	
☐ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or this application, applicant must timely file one of the fol places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a la Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliatime periods:	lowing replies: (1) an amendm Notice of Appeal (with appeal	ent, affidavit, or other evidence, fee) in compliance with 37 CFR	which 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mai	iling date of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expired.	e later than SIX MONTHS from th	e mailing date of the final rejection.	
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) of TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPER		IEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILEI	O WITHIN
Actensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The datave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of order 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office laway reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704	ate on which the petition under 37 extension and the corresponding the shortened statutory period for reater than three months after the m	amount of the fee. The appropriate eply originally set in the final Office a	extension fee ction; or (2) as
OTICE OF APPEAL  The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in co	mpliance with 37 CEP 41 37 n	guet he filed within two months o	f the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any ex			
a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be fil			•
MENDMENTS			
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection			use
(a) They raise new issues that would require further		see NOTE below);	
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE be (c) They are not deemed to place the application in I		rially reducing or simplifying the	issues for
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling		nally rejected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a	• •	No. Compliant Amondus at /DT	01 224
The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1		Non-Compliant Amendment (P1	UL-324).
<ul> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection</li> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be</li> </ul>	· · ——	narate timely filed amendment	canceling the
non-allowable claim(s).	allowable if Submitted in a se	parate, timely med amendment	canceing the
For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): how the new or amended claims would be rejected is p The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		)	anation of
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
FIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
□ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessitive.	o overcome <u>all</u> rejections unde sary and was not earlier prese	er appeal and/or appellant fails t nted. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).	o provide a
. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explana EQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	tion of the status of the claims	after entry is below or attached	
.   The request for reconsideration has been considered See Continuation Sheet.			because:
<ol> <li>Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s</li> <li>Other:</li> </ol>	s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449)	lulle.	S
		Brian Healy Primary Exeminer	_

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The previously indicated allowability of claim 9 has been withdrawn upon reconsideration. Applicant discloses an irradiating pulse laser beam having a "repeating frequency" of 1 Hz to 80 MHz. Applicant has not provided that "repeating frequency" is a term that carries a special definition in the art, the examiner thus considers it a redundant phrase that simply refers to the pulsing frequency of the pulse laser. The "DeVoe" reference cited in previous office actions also teaches a pulse laser operating at 76 MHz (See column 5, lines 62 to column 6, line 3 for complete description of said pulse laser).

Brian Healy Primary Examiner